



“The following is a direct script of a teaching that is intended to be presented via video, incorporating relevant text, slides, media, and graphics to assist in illustration, thus facilitating the presentation of the material. In some places, this may cause the written material to not flow or sound rather awkward in some places. In addition, there may be grammatical errors that are often not acceptable in literary work. We encourage the viewing of the video teachings to complement the written teaching you see below.”

The Immoral Moral law

Many theologians have realized that the New Testament teaches that we are to still keep the Law of God. That even our Savior said that not one jot or tittle will pass from the Law of God till Heaven and Earth disappear. This is such a problem for theologians because most teach that some commandments have passed way. This is what they have been taught, so it is very hard for them to see it any other way. So instead of trying to reconcile the contradiction with what the Bible already established as truth, they invented a new term as a theological tool to discard the Law of God which is what they thought Paul was teaching. As a result, you may hear of the terms such as “moral law,” or “civil law,” being thrown around in a discussion pertaining to God’s law in the New Testament. By inventing this term, now every pro-law verse in the New Testament is supposedly referring to what is now defined as the moral law, simply because they believe that there are verses that teach against some of the commandments of God. Thus, pro law verses to into the “moral law” box, and verses in which Paul seems to be teaching against the law, must be put in the “Moses” box. To them, problem solved.

This is very creative theology. How creative is it? Search the whole Bible for the term “moral law,” and you will find exactly how creative it actually is. It simply doesn’t exist. It is only existing out of theological need, not because the Bible teaches it. It was birthed out of either laziness in reconciling Paul or placing too much faith in seminary theological tradition. It was simply invented by men in the purpose to teach doctrines of men.

There is even more to consider on this matter. If there is no definition in the Bible defining what consists of the moral law, then whose definition are we forced to use? We are then forced to use men’s definition. This produces a rather disturbing result. So, now we have men deciding for us which commandments of God are moral and which ones are not. This may seem like a strange question, but isn’t it God that is to define what is moral for us and what is not? Isn’t everything God commanded what is defined as moral, and everything breaking God’s commandments considered immoral? Who are we, as beings created by God, to decide what is moral or immoral? Who gave us the right to invent such terms outside of the Bible, and why would we be comfortable with that? Who gave us the right to take on God’s job in defining morality?

So, the next time that someone throws around terms around like “moral law” as a supposed defense as to why they decided not to observe some of God’s commandments, perhaps it should be asked, “Why is there a need to invent non-biblical terms to support their theology?”

Just a thought...

Shalom, and may Yahweh bless you in walking in the whole Word of God.

EMAIL: Info@119ministries.com

FACEBOOK: www.facebook.com/119Ministries

WEBSITE: www.TestEverthing.net

TWITTER: www.twitter.com/119Ministries#